

Ref: AIB-2012-MC05-02
Date: 14 June 2012
Location: Helsinki

AIB
association of issuing bodies



Market Committee

Helsinki, Sokos Hotel Presidentti, 14 June 2012 - 15:45-17:15hrs

Meeting Notes

These notes offer a brief and informal record of the proceedings

Chairman: Christof Timpe

Registered attendees:

Country	Organisation	Name
<u>RECS International members</u>		
Belgium	EDF Luminus	Dinh Nguyen Phan
Denmark	Nordjysk Elhandel AS	Rikke Bertelsen
Finland	Fortum	Hans Backström
Finland	Greenstream	Juha Ruokonen
Finland	Greenstream Network	Suvi, Viljaranta
Germany	Markedskraft	Thomas Eccard
Luxembourg	Luxembourg Energy Office SA	Roger Rütze
Netherlands	RECS International	Jared Braslawsky
Netherlands	RECS Internatonal	Gerrie van Haaster
Netherlands	RECS Internatonal	Peter Niermeijer
Norway	Bergen Energi Green Services AS	Anette Gussiaas
Norway	ICAP	Jan-Peder Kleppe
Norway	Ecohz	Tom Lindberg
Sweden	Vattenfall	Claes Hedenström
Switzerland	EWZ	Sebastian Meier
Switzerland	EWZ	Stina Maria Hope
<u>Association of Issuing Bodies members</u>		
Austria	E-Control	Angela Puchbauer-Schnabel
Belgium	Commission Wallonne pour l'Énergie	Pierre-Yves Cornélis
Denmark	Energinet.dk	Mads Lyngby Petersen
Finland	Grexel Systems Oy	Markus Klimscheffskij
Finland	Grexel Systems Oy	Marko Lehtovaara
France	Observ'ER	Diane Lescot
Germany	AIB/ Project Management Service	Andrea Effinger
Germany	Oeko-Institut e.V.	Christof Timpe
Iceland	Landsnet	Gardar Larusson
Italy	Gestore dei Servizi Energeti - GSE S.p.A.	Natascia Falcucci

Ref: AIB-2012-MC05-02
Date: 14 June 2012
Location: Helsinki

AIB

association of issuing bodies



Italy	GSE	Noemi Magnanini
Italy	GSE	Rosanna Pietropaolo
Netherlands	CertiQ	Michael Lenzen
Netherlands	CertiQ	Jan van der Lee
Norway	Statnett	Ann-Christin Austang
Norway	Statnett	Ingrid Nytun Christie
Norway	Statnett SF	Rolf Jorgensen
Norway	EdiSys Consulting AS	Anne Cathrine Petersen
Norway	Statnett	Geir Tore Sæterstøen
Slovenia	Energy Agency of the Republic of Slovenia	Tomaz Lah
Switzerland	Swissgrid ag	René Burkhard
Switzerland	Swissgrid	Lukas Groebke
United Kingdom	Association of Issuing Bodies	Phil Moody

1 Opening (presence, absence, apologies)

Christof Timpe (CT) (chairman) opens the meeting, welcomes all attendees to this open discussion (i.e. no decision making) which gives all attendees an opportunity to discuss common topics.

The agenda was presented by CT and agreed (*see < AIB -2012-MC05-01 Agenda_v4.pdf>*)

2 RE-DISS Best Practice - what is the status? How to improve?

2.1. Continuation of RE-DISS and ensuring residual mixes continue to be published

(see paper <AIB-2012-MC05-02 - Follow up to RE-DISS.pdf> and presentation <AIB-2012-MC05-04 - RE-DISS Status>) (due to a technical error the paper was not disseminated to RECS members before the meeting)

Attached to these minutes (see Annex last page) is a list of countries which provided letters of support to the RE-DISS II proposal.

Diane Lescot presents the EU-funded research-project RE-DISS on behalf of RE-DISS team and invites all attendees to comment the Best Practice Recommendations (BPR) (http://www.reliable-disclosure.org/upload/126-RE-DISS_Best_Practice_v13.pdf)

A proposal for a RE-DISS II project was applied to the IEE- programme (RE-DISS ends in 10/2012). If this project will be funded RE-DISS II could start in March or April 2013, which would be right in time for the calculations of residual mixes for 2012. A fall back option is needed for the case that the project is not funded by the Commission, but can be developed when we know about the funding decision (in November 2012).

Comments and questions from RECS International:

- RECS-I strongly supports RE-DISS and the proposed RE-DISS II project.
- The Greenhouse Gas Protocol for Scope II carbon accounting will refer to RE-DISS and EPED with regard to the residual mix calculations. RECS thinks that this calls for a higher degree of transparency on the methodology used. Specifically in regards to increasing the carbon calculation transparency
- RECS also suggests full access for stakeholders to the data relating to the Residual Mix calculations. This would give more credibility to the results and would be adequate in the light of the public funding of the project.
- Market players wish for a more important role/inclusion in the RE-DISS project.

Ref: AIB-2012-MC05-02
Date: 14 June 2012
Location: Helsinki

AIB
association of issuing bodies



A: Transparency: The Residual Mix methodology is described in publicly available documents.

A: Full access to data: CT and DL are reluctant to offer this. The Competent Bodies supply figures for the RE-DISS calculation of Residual Mixes and might oppose to publishing these figures, as some details might be confidential. RE-DISS is only partly funded by the Commission. Although all results are published, the intellectual property on the background data remains with the project team.

A: Involvement of market players: The main target group of the current RE-DISS project are Competent Bodies. RE-DISS team is very willing to increase the transparency but the teams' experience shows that Competent Bodies have much more open discussions without Market Players being present. The RE-DISS II proposal contains a conference with Market Players. The details of transparency will depend as well on the Performance criteria defined by the IEE-agency in the project contract.

Q: How could the BPR be transformed into legislation?

A: On the RE-DISS website country profiles are available which explain how the BPR is implemented and give recommendations for improvements. RE-DISS is monitoring this for the countries. But RE-DISS cannot enforce its recommendations on any countries because it is a consultancy-project. However, Market Players could consider in which way they could contribute to support the outcomes of RE-DISS on a European level, e.g. by putting pressure on the national competent bodies.

Q: There should be more pressure put from the RE-DISS project on how to implement disclosure in a coordinated way. EU Commission could be consulted how implementation could work

A: RE-DISS is not providing binding recommendations on disclosure. However, RE-DISS will propose new Commission guidelines to Member States on the implementation of disclosure.

Comment from Michael Lenzen (on behalf of CertiQ): RECS members could voice their interest that Portugal and Spain use the BPR.

3 Any other Business

Q: What about the monthly statistics?

A: Phil Moody is working on this, but cannot release the new data because of the results in the new calculations still need to be verified. AIB GM will be asked on the day following the MC to support the general structure, which is a fundamental change.

Q: Any news on implementation of GO-system in Portugal?

A: New registries are installed in Spain and Portugal since end of April 2012. Portugal is currently in a difficult situation mainly due to problems to finance any action regarding this. And it is also difficult to proceed if there is no market for GOs in some countries. Maybe it would be an idea to have a service provider for operating the registries in these countries on a transaction-based fee structure? A joint action from AIB and RECS support these countries.

4 Ex-domain cancellation

Oral presentation by Rolf Jorgensen

Rules for Ex-domain cancellation are very straightforward. In fact one cannot do such cancellations within the EECs system except in the case that there are temporary malfunctions in the connection between registries. A solution for countries which are currently not electronically connected will be provided by AIB through its "non member hub service".

Ref: AIB-2012-MC05-02
Date: 14 June 2012
Location: Helsinki

AIB
association of issuing bodies



5 Expiry of EECS ICS certificates

Oral presentation by Rolf Jorgensen

Expiry of a GO is governed by domain specific rules (EU-Directive is not detailed enough in this and leaves space for interpretation by each country/domain).

In case that a GO and an ICS are linked, they will expire together.

ICS only certificates (like RECS certificates) have no expiry date. Participants agreed that it would make sense to remove "old" RECS certificates from the registries. However, this would have to be done by the account holders. AIB members cannot enforce this.

6 Status of actions

The actions from the last Market Committee (2011) were done except of the following two. These actions will be followed up by PN and PM.

Group	Action	Description	Who	Target	Status
MC	110922-01	Ask ILR and Observ'ER why it is not possible to transfer from France to Luxembourg	AE	15/10/2011	done
MC	110922-02	Confirm if EECS Rules C3.3 and E3.3.13 need to change	PM	01/11/2011	done
MC	110922-03	Prepare a document for AIB setting out requirements for reporting EECS certificate activity	RECS	01/12/2011	done
MC	110922-04	Amend systems to report issued certificates by production date including provision of raw data	AIB	01/02/2012	done
MC	110922-05	05 Define how transfer activity should be analysed	NvdL/AIB	01/12/2011	done
MC	110922-06	Load RE-DISS residual mix info on EPED website	PM	15/10/2011	done
MC	110922-07	Agree how EPED will continue following end of RE-DISS in Oct 2012	PM/PN	01/12/2011	outstanding

Remark AE: action 110922-04 was done after the meeting

Comment from Jared on action 110922-06: checked the website for eped and did not see the 2011 calculations. Andrea sent a request to Phil and the RE-DISS team on 5 July 2012

7 Next Meetings & Close

The next Market Committee is scheduled for June 2013 in Reykjavik, Iceland [Comment RECS: RECS-I will discuss this internally and get back to the AIB if it is their wish as well to hold the Joint Board meeting in June 2013 in Reykjavik]

The meeting closed at 17:15 local time.

Ref: AIB-2012-MC05-02
Date: 14 June 2012
Location: Helsinki

AIB
association of issuing bodies



ANNEX

List of the domains which have sent Letters of Support (LOS) for the RE-DISS II proposal:

- Bundesamt für Energie (Switzerland)
- Swissgrid (Switzerland)
- CertiQ (Netherlands)
- CWaPe (Belgium Region of Wallonia)
- Energimarknads Inspektionen (Sweden)
- Energiamarkkinavirasto (Finland)
- Energinet.dk (Denmark)
- Gestore Servizi Energetici (Italy)
- Institut Luxembourgeois de Regulation (Luxembourg)
- Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate (Norway)
- Umweltbundesamt (Germany)
- Vlaamse Reguleringsinstantie voor de Elektriciteits- en Gasmarkt (Belgian Region of Flanders)

Further LOS were submitted by

- European Federation of Energy Traders (EFET)
- RECS International
- WWF International
- Carbon Disclosure Project (CDP)